

# VU Research Portal

## Attitude and Commitment

Kloosterboer, N.J.G.

2019

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Kloosterboer, N. J. G. (2019). *Attitude and Commitment: A Study of Transparent Self-Knowledge*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# CONTENTS

<b>Dankwoord</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Introduction: Self-Knowledge, Science, and Agency</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Science versus everyday life	2
1.2 Agential account of self-knowledge	7
2. Transparency	9
3. Two topics problem	12
4. Attitudes and scope	14
4.1 Intentional mental attitudes	14
4.2 Doxastic, conative and affective	15
4.3 Types of attitudes	15
4.4 Rationality of attitudes	17
4.5 Trivial vs. substantial	18
5. Agency	19
6. The underlying philosophical approach	22
7. Overview dissertation	23
<b>1 Three Transparency Principles Examined</b>	<b>27</b>
1. Introduction	27
2. The motivation behind transparency accounts of self-knowledge	28
3. Three formulations of transparency	31
4. Transparency requirements examined	33
A. Obsessive beliefs	33
B. Beliefs based on non-justifying reasons	34
C. Long-standing beliefs	36
D. Basic beliefs	38
E. Belief in anti-skeptical propositions	40
F. Cases of forgotten evidence and testimonial beliefs	41
5. Conclusion: the significance of the results	44

<b>2 Making the Two Topics Problem Transparent</b>	<b>47</b>
1. Introduction	47
2. The roots of TTP	49
3. Inferentialist views	51
4. Judgment views	55
4.1 Phenomenal quality	57
4.2 Contrastive awareness	58
4.3 Proximal intention	61
5. Metaphysical views	63
5.1 Moran's appeal to agency	64
5.2 Boyle's reflectivism	66
6. Concluding remarks and a way forward	69
 <b>3 Reasoning With and Without Change in Attitudes</b>	 <b>73</b>
1. Introduction	74
2. Reasoning as change in attitudes	75
3. Counterexamples to the attitude view	78
4. Other considerations against the attitude view	84
5. The form view	87
6. Reasoning with and without change in attitudes	92
7. Conclusion	96
 <b>4 Transparent Emotions? A Critical Analysis of Moran's Transparency Claim</b>	 <b>99</b>
1. Introduction	99
2. Moran's account of self-knowledge	101
3. Emotions as intentional attitudes	105
4. Transparency and emotions	106
5. Commitment and agency	113
6. Conclusion	115
 <b>5 The Status of Avowal in Substantial Self-Knowledge</b>	 <b>117</b>
1. Introduction	117
2. Avowal, self-knowledge and agency	119
3. Substantial self-knowledge and care	121
4. Self-knowledge without avowal?	125
5. The significance of the gap	128

6. Concluding remarks	137
<b>Concluding Reflections</b>	<b>139</b>
1. The limits of transparency	139
2. The form of transparent self-knowledge	144
3. Taking a broader perspective	146
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>177</b>